UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,210	10/13/2005	Herbert Wirz	2360-0429PUS1	1244
	7590 05/26/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	KEENAN, JAMES W		
FALLS CHURG	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3652		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/553,210	WIRZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	James Keenan	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelication (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the con	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201100			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beth	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co See Continuation Sheet.		,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 13,14 and 21 would	d be allowable if submitted in a sep	arate, timely filed ame	endment			
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 8-14, 16, 18-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a			
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						
	/James Keenan/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3652				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Although the amendment presents a substantial improvement to the claim terminology and appears to overcome at least some of the rejections of record, it nevertheless fails to clearly place the application in condition for allowance and, in at least one instance introduces a new 112/2nd par. issue (claim 1, last line: the recitation "the at least one object" lacks antecedent basis). Also, upon further consideration, the examiner is of the opinion that the fig. 2 embodiment of the Eisele reference (DE 26 29 718) may be more relevant to amended claims 1 and 16 than the previously applied references.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112/2nd par., claims 1-6, 8-14, 22, 23; 103(a), at least claims 13, 14, 21.